## ENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 16 NOV 2004

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT 2937

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000053866				FOR FURTHER A	ER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
ı	nationa TÆP (		ication No. 087	International filing date (day/month/year) 24.07.2003		th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.08.2002		
A61	national L15/1		ent Classification (IPC) or t	both national classification	and IPC				
		TIEN	NGESELLSCHAFT e	tal where some we	in the state	a North Arthrophic Control	matematics with exercise source sort in t	。 M. Berthare E. 1992	
1.	This Auth	inter ority	national preliminary exa and is transmitted to the	amination report has been applicant according to	en prepa Article 3	red by this Inte 6.	rnational Preliminary Exar	mining	
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including t	his cove	r sheet.			
		bee	n amended and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. basis for this report and on 607 of the Administra	d <i>l</i> or shee	ts containing re	on, claims and/or drawings ectifications made before t the PCT).	which have this Authority	
	The	se an	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.					
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications r	elating to the following i	tems:	ž + +:	en en meneral en en meneral en	vitin et ist v	
:	i	☒	Basis of the opinion				•		
	II		Priority						
	111		Non-establishment of	f opinion with regard to r	novelty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability		
	IV		Lack of unity of inven	tion		•			
	٧	×	Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w tions supporting such st	rith regar tatement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial a	pplicability;	
	VI		Certain documents ci	ited					
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	n				
• !	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication	N.	• . • •		
Date	of sub	missi	on of the demand		Date of	completion of th	is report		
02.	02.12.2003  Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				12.11	.2004			
Nam preli					Authori	zed Officer		onliethes Petenten.	
	<u>)</u> ))	D-l	ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich 1. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	Schna Teleph	ack, A one No. +49 89 2	2399-8149	To the same of the	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08087

I		Basis	of	the	re	p	or	t
---	--	-------	----	-----	----	---	----	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages	
	1-40	0	as originally filed
	Clai	ims, Numbers	
·· ·a	1-29		as originally filed
2.	With lang	n regard to the <b>langu</b> a guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).
3.	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.
	$\Box$	filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequer	atly to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the international approximation of the international approximation of the statement of th	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessary:

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08087

of the Birthal Superation on the season and the season of

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-29 NONE

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-29

Industrial applicability (IA)

- Yes: Claims

No:

1-29

No: Claims

NONE

NONE

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 4 351 754 A (DUPRE JEAN) 28 September 1982 (1982-09-28)
- D2: WO 98 52979 A (AMCOL INTERNATIONAL CORP) 26 November 1998 (1998-11-26)
- D3: WO 00 73596 A (ALBERTA RES COUNCIL INC; NILEX INC (CA)) 7 December 2000 (2000-12-07)
- D4: US 4 535 098 A (POLAK BRENT T ET AL) 13 August 1985 (1985-08-13)
- D5: US 4 914 066 A (WOODRUM GUY T) 3 April 1990 (1990-04-03) cited in the application
- D6: WO 91 12031 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 22 August 1991 (1991-08-22) cited in the second of the contract of the co the application
- D7: WO 01 32117 A (PARAGON TRADE BRANDS INC) 10 May 2001 (2001-05-10) cited in the application

#### Section V

#### V.1. Novelty

Remarks under Article 33(2) PCT:

The present application is directed to a process for manufacturing superabsorbent particles, said particles comprising a superabsorbent polymer (SAP) and a clay component, (claims 26-29), claims 1-16 are directed to the particles so obtained by the process according to claims 26-29. The process is characterized by the following steps:

- polymerizing an unneutralized monomer to obtain a SAP hydrogel (a)
- comminuting the SAP hydrogel to form SAP particles (b)
- (c) admixing a clay with the SAP particles
- neutralizing the SAP/clay particles with a neutralizing agent (d)
- drying the particles (e)

The application stresses that an important aspect of the presently claimed subject matter is that the neutralizing step, i.e. step (d), is performed after the mixing with the clay, i.e. after step (c), (cf. present page 5, lines 15-19, page 11, lines 5-9 and page 15,

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087

lines 20-21, page 20, lines 8-12 and comparative example 1).

D1 discloses a thickening agent for aqueous compositions and for use in e.g. diapers as absorbent, (see col. 2, line 66). This agent comprises a SAP and a clay component. The clay and the polymer according to D1 can be admixed in a variety of ways. Col. 5, line 56 ff. describes that the clay and the polymer may be blended in e.g. dry form followed by addition of a neutralizing agent, (see also col. 6, lines 12-37 and example 1, (B) and example 2). Thus, D1 discloses a process in which the neutralization step takes place after the mixing step.

However, D1 fails to disclose the present comminuting step (b) to form particles, for which reason novelty appears acknowledgeable.

D2 and D6 do not appear to disclose the use of clays.

D3 discloses a process in which the super absorbent monomer and the clay component are mixed before polymerisation of the monomer, (see, the claims). Thus, novelty appears acknowledgeable in view of D3.

D4 does not appear to disclose the present neutralizing step (d) taking place after the mixing with the clay filler, (see D4, col. 6, lines 30-42 and col. 7, lines 21-45), for which reason novelty of the present process can be acknowledged in view of D4.

The same appears to apply for the teaching according to D5, (see D5, col. 2, line 57 col. 3, line 25 and claims 5, 6 and 14).

D7 discloses a SAP composition comprising an underneutralized SAP and a clay layer. A process according to present claim 1 does not appears to have been disclosed in D7.

Thus, in conclusion, novelty of the presently claimed subject matter appears acknowledgeable in view of the available prior art.

#### V.2. Inventive step

Remarks under Article 33(3) PCT:

The applicant explains on page 20, second paragraph, that the present application is based upon the finding that improved absorption and retention properties of SAP-clay

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

particles can be obtained by a process according to which the clay is added to the SAP hydrogel prior to SAP neutralization. Present **example 6** and **comparative example 3** demonstrate this.

The closest prior art could be considered to be D2. D2 discloses SAP particles intended for use in diapers etc., (see page 1, lines 11-14). The SAP particles according to D2 are made according to a process in which the monomer is polymerized to completion (and optionally crosslinked during polymerization) before neutralization. The so obtained SAP product can further be dried and pulverized according to known methods, (see D2, page 10, line 4 - page 11, line 6). Thus, the difference between the presently claimed subject matter and the teaching of D2 is that a clay is additionally added to the particles before the neutralization step according to the present invention. The effects offered by this addition of clay prior to neutralization as opposed to after neutralization is an enhanced water evaporation rate, (cf. present pages 25 and 26). It appears that this effect associated with the particular order in which the steps © and (d) are carried out was not derivable from the available prior art.

Thus, an inventive step of the claimed subject matter appears to be acknowledgeable.

### V.3. Industrial applicability

Remarks under Article 33(4) PCT:

The presently claimed subject matter fulfils the requirements for industrial applicability.